

## Market Requirements Document

**Feature Name:** Support for Texas Memory Systems Solid State Disk

**Version: 2**                      **Date Submitted: 02/16/05**  
Version: 1                      Date Submitted: 01/26/05

**Completed By:** Leon Guzenda

### Description of the Problem

Objectivity currently runs on disk-based file systems. Some high end users are incorporating non-volatile Solid State Disks [SSD] into their systems. In general, this should be invisible to Objectivity/DB. However, as we have never verified this assumption, we cannot assure potential users of SSD that there will be no problems and that they will see performance or throughput improvements as a result of their investment.

### Description of the Requested Feature

We should run a subset of our tests, or at least the high throughput benchmark application, using SSD in at least these configurations:

- a) Boot file only.
- b) Federated Database file only.
- c) Boot file and Federated Database file.
- d) Journal files only.
- e) Boot file, Federated Database file and journal files.
- f) Read-only database file(s) only.
- g) New and updated databases only (including deleting a database from SSD).
- h) Read-only databases and set e, above.
- i) Sets e and g, above.
- j) All files.
- k) oobackup staging files only.
- l) ootidy workfiles only.
- m) Data input staging files (buffers between incoming data streams and an Objectivity/DB data ingest application).

### Part of an existing feature or does it require another feature, if so, which one?

Performance option.

**How is this problem being solved now, and why isn't that acceptable?**

We have had telecom customers, such as Alcatel/DSC, use small SSD configurations for their journals to speed up short transactions. The SSD was supplied by Sun and was 100% compatible with the Solaris file system.

We may be lucky and discover that no changes are required to our products.

**What languages must support this capability?**

- C++
- Java
- SQL++

**Which platforms must be supported?**

- At least the Tier 1 platforms.

**Do any competitors already have this feature?**

- HP, IBM and Oracle all have SSD partnerships with [Texas Memory Systems](#).
- Berkeley DB has a partnership with Solid Data ([Details](#)).

**Customers who require this feature**

- Customers with short transactions or high volumes of random updates.
- Texas Memory Systems SSD was considered by NGMS after a recent benchmark in Denver failed to produce a winning bidder.

**Revenue at risk, or which could be won**

- This will increase our competitiveness, particularly against in-memory databases, such as [Times Ten](#) and streaming products, such as [Kabira](#) and [StreamBase](#).

**When is this required?**

- Release 10 (very low priority), but it could also be a background activity for an SE.

## **Additional Notes**

1. We will also need:

- Access to Texas Memory Systems hardware.
- Marketing collateral, including a Press Release.
- Minor updates to technical publications.
- Updates to a Release Note, if any changes are made.
- Extra Quality Assurance Material (unless we can simply use the high throughout benchmark application).